#### **SCORING RUBRIC**

Candidate: DESIREE SAYLO	RS Supervisor GERY GERST	
School Timberline High School	School District: North Thurston Public Schools	Grade Level(s): 9th
PAA Administration Dates: 1 <sup>s</sup>	<sup>t</sup> December 14, 2010 5 <sup>th</sup> period 2 <sup>nd</sup> December 14, 2010 6 <sup>th</sup> period	

# 1. The teacher candidate sets learning targets that address the Essential Academic Learning Requirements and the state learning goals.

Source of Evidence: Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Alignment	The plan's learning targets are not aligned with EALRs, state learning goals, district goals, and school and classroom goals.	The plan's learning targets are explicitly aligned with EALRs, state learning goals, district goals, and school and classroom goals.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Targets: a IP: Learning Targets
B. Meaningfulness/ Importance	The plan's learning targets represent trivial learning and lack potential for fostering student critical thinking and problem solving.	The plan's learning targets represent valuable learning and foster student critical thinking and problem solving.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Targets: a, b, c IP Rationale Learning Experiences: d IP: Learning Targets
C. Developmental and Instructional Appropriateness	The plan's learning targets are not appropriate for the development, prerequisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and backgrounds of students or student characteristics and needs.	The plan's learning targets are suitable for all students in the class and are adapted where necessary to the needs of individual students.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP: Learning Targets: c, d IP Rationale: Assessment Strategies: a, b IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: b
D. Accuracy	The plan's learning targets represent activities rather than learning outcomes and cannot be assessed.	The plan's learning targets define learning outcomes and can be assessed.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed IP: Learning Targets, Assessment Strategies
E. Multicultural Perspectives	The plan's learning targets lack transformative multicultural knowledge, reasoning, performance skills, products, or dispositions.	The plan's learning targets are grounded in transformative multicultural knowledge, reasoning, performance skills, products, or dispositions.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Targets c IP: Learning Targets

### 2. The teacher candidate demonstrates knowledge of the characteristics of students and their communities.

Source of Evidence: Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Developmental Characteristics	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' developmental characteristics.	The plan reflects understanding of students' developmental characteristics.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP: Assessment Strategies: a IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: a, d IP: Learning Experiences section 3 and 4 Class Characteristics (CSC): 11
B. Exceptionalities	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' exceptionalities and special learning needs.	The plan reflects understanding of students' exceptionalities and special learning needs.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Experiences a, b, c IP Rationale: Assessment Strategies: a, b IP: Learning Experiences Section 3 and 4 Class Characteristics (CSC): 4, 6, 9, 11
C. Cultural Backgrounds, Ethnicity, Language Development, Socioeconomic Status (SES), Gender	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' cultural backgrounds, ethnicity, first language development, English acquisition, SES, and gender.	The plan reflects understanding of students' cultural backgrounds, ethnicity, first language development, English acquisition, SES, and gender.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed  IP Rationale: Learning Targets: c IP Rationale: Assessment Strategies: a, b IP: Learning Experiences: section 2 and 5 Class Characteristics (CSC): 3, 5, 6, 7, 8
D. Approaches to Learning	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' varied approaches to learning.	The plan reflects understanding of students' varied approaches to learning.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: a, c, d, f IP: Learning Targets, Learning Experiences: 1-5
E. Prior Knowledge and Skills	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' knowledge and skills relative to the learning targets.	The plan reflects understanding of students' knowledge and skills relative to the learning targets for each student, including those with special needs.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Targets: b IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: f IP: Learning Experiences: Section 1
F. Community Factors that Impact Student Learning	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of community factors that impact student learning.	The plan reflects understanding of how to use students' community as support for activities, resources, and learning strategies.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: e, f IP: Learning Experiences: Section 3-5

# 3. The teacher candidate plans and establishes effective interactions with families to support student learning and well-being.

Source of Evidence: Plan for using personal contact with families (e.g., telephone, home visit, family conferences, and/or written messages)

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)	
A. Appropriateness	There are no plans for interactions with families OR interactions presented in the plan are inappropriate for the language and level of understanding of families.	The plan's interactions with families are specifically adapted to the language and level of understanding of each student and his or her family, including lowstatus/historically marginalized families.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Family Interactions	
B. Purpose	Interactions in the plan focus primarily on negative student behavior and performance.	The plan for family interaction provides and elicits information regarding student learning and well being, including low-status/historically marginalized families.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed IP Rationale: Family Interactions	
C. Cultural	Interactions in the plan are routine with	The plan's interactions with families	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed	
Responsiveness	little or no effort to make interactions culturally responsive.	are culturally responsive for each student and his or her family.	IP Rationale: Family Interactions	
D. Two-Way	The plan provides limited opportunities for	The plan provides adequate	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed	
Communications	families to engage in communication about the learning progress and well being of their children.	opportunities for families to engage in communication or activities to support student learning and well being.	IP Rationale: Family Interactions	

#### 4. The teacher candidate designs assessment strategies that measure student learning.

<u>Source of Evidence</u>: Instructional Plan. Include descriptions or documentation related to the assessment strategies (e.g., copy of assignments, description of strategies, rubric)

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Alignment	The plan's assessment strategies are not	The plan's assessment strategies	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
	aligned with the learning targets.	are aligned with the learning	
		targets.	IP: Assessment Strategies
B. Technical	The plan's assessment strategies do not	The plan includes assessments that	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Soundness	measure the intended outcomes of the	measure the student outcomes	
	learning targets.	reflected in the learning targets.	IP: Assessment Strategies
C. Formative and	The plan does not provide for the use of	The plan provides for the use of	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Summative	both formative and summative	both formative and summative	
Assessment	assessment data to evaluate the impact	assessment data to evaluate impact	IP: Assessment Strategies
	on student learning.	on student learning.	IP: Learning Experiences: Sections 3, 4, 5
D. Multiple Modes	The plan's assessment strategies employ	The plan includes opportunities for	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
and Approaches	a single assessment mode or approach.	students to engage in a variety of	
• •		assessments that measure their	IP: Assessment Strategies
		performance relative to the learning	IP: Learning Experiences: Sections 3, 4, 5
		targets.	
E. Feedback	The plan's assessment strategies provide	The plan includes opportunities for	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
	no opportunities for students to receive	students to receive feedback	
	feedback.	regarding their performance relative	IP: Assessment Strategies
		to the learning targets.	IP: Learning Experiences: Sections 3, 4, 5

### 5. The teacher candidate designs instruction based on research and principles of effective practice.

Source of Evidence: Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Alignment	The plan's learning activities are not aligned	The plan's learning activities are aligned	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
	with learning targets and assessments.	with learning targets and assessments.	IP: Learning Experiences: Sections 1-5
			IP: Assessment Strategies
B. Lesson Sequence	The plan's learning activities are unrelated to	The plan's learning activities account for	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
•	prior learning and do not support the learning	prior learning and support the learning	IP: Learning Targets
	targets.	targets.	IP: Learning Experiences: Section 1-5
C. Research-Based	The plan fails to connect instruction to	The plan is based on research and	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Pedagogy	research and principles of effective practice	principles of effective practices that are	
0 0.	that are developmentally appropriate, culturally	developmentally appropriate, culturally	IP Rationale: Learning Targets: c, d
	responsive, gender sensitive, and inclusive of	responsive, gender sensitive, and	IP Rationale: Assessment Strategies: a, b
	all students including low-status/historically	inclusive of all students including the	IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: c, e, f
	marginalized students.	low-status/historically marginalized.	
D. Academic	The plan reflects a single viewpoint OR uses	The plan describes how instructional	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Knowledge and	multicultural or gender academic knowledge	strategies extend beyond the existing	
Perspective	only as an add-on to instruction that reflects	diversity of the students in the class and	IP: Learning Experiences
•	the dominant culture.	expand material to incorporate a range	IP Rationale: Learning Targets: c
		of transformative multicultural and	
		gender-relevant subject matter content.	
E. Culturally	The plan employs a single learning strategy or	The plan employs a variety of learning	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Responsive Learning	method throughout the lesson OR limits	experiences that build on and recognize	
Activities	student opportunity to learn from one another	the academic competence of each	
	in a democratic and caring environment.	student and encourages critical thinking	IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: a, d, e, f
		and collaborative learning in a	IP: Learning Experiences: section 3,4, 5
		democratic and caring environment.	
F. Materials and	The plan utilizes learning materials and	The plan utilizes learning materials and	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Resources	learning tasks that primarily represent the	engages in learning tasks that	IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: a, c
	dominant culture or a single gender.	incorporate transformative multicultural	IP Rationale: Learning Targets: c
		and gender perspectives.	IP: Learning Experiences: section 3,4, 5
G. Use of Technology	The plan incorporates few opportunities for	The plan utilizes technology to support	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
	students to learn with varied technologies.	and enhance instruction and student	
		learning.	IP: Learning Experiences: Section 1
H. Heterogeneous	The plan's learning activities exclude	The plan provides opportunities for	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
Grouping	heterogeneous cooperative learning groups.	students to engage in a variety of	
		learning experiences including	IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: e
		heterogeneous cooperative learning	IP: Learning Experiences: Section 3, 4, 5
		groups that build and recognize	IP: Grouping of Students
		academic competence of all students,	
		including the low-status/historically	
		marginalized.	
I. Student Engagement	The plan provides no opportunities for	The plan describes how students will	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
	students to become intrinsically motivated or	become intrinsically motivated and	IP Rationale: Learning Experiences: d
	engaged in their own learning.	engaged in their own learning.	IP: Learning Experiences: Section 3, 4, 5

### 6. The teacher candidate aligns instruction with the plan and communicates accurate content knowledge.

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Alignment	Classroom instruction and the instructional plan are not aligned.	Classroom instruction is aligned with the instructional plan.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
B. Meaningful Opportunities to Learn	Students have limited opportunities to learn the key skills and concepts needed to reach the learning targets.	Students are learning the key skills and concepts needed to reach the learning targets.	<b>★ Met</b> ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
C. Accuracy	The teacher candidate makes content errors.	The teacher candidate demonstrates accurate knowledge of the content.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
D. Interdisciplinary Instruction	Students participate in tasks that focus on a single discipline without making connections to other subject areas.	Students are engaged in tasks that provide interdisciplinary connections with other subject areas.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
E. Culturally Responsive and Gender-Sensitive Instruction	Students participate in tasks that represent limited cultural and gendersensitive perspectives.	Students respond using multicultural and gendersensitive perspectives.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>

## 7. Students participate in a learning community that supports student learning and well-being.

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Democratic Classroom	Students do not participate in the development of classroom behavioral expectations and norms.	Students participate in the development of classroom behavioral expectations and norms (e.g., provide input regarding rules or procedures; are involved in conflict resolution).	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
B. Respect	Classroom interactions between students and teacher candidate or between peers are disrespectful.	Classroom interactions between students and teacher candidate or between peers reflect respect for others.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
C. Learning Community	In group activities, some students act independently or fail to support one another's inquiry/learning or exclude low-status/historically marginalized students-	Students support one another in group learning activities and include low-status/historically marginalized students.	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
D. Self-Directed Learning	Students have no opportunity to express their opinions and provide suggestions regarding their own learning.	Students express their opinions and provide suggestions regarding their own learning.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
E. Diverse Perspectives	Students demonstrate disrespect for the multicultural and gender perspectives expressed by others.	Students show respect for multicultural and gender perspectives expressed by others.	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
F. Heterogeneous Groups	Students do not participate in heterogeneous cooperative learning groups OR heterogeneous cooperative learning groups fail to build the academic competence of all students including low-status/historically marginalized students.	Students engage in a variety of learning experiences including heterogeneous cooperative learning groups that build and recognize academic competence of students, including low-status/historically marginalized students.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>

### 8. Students engage in learning activities that are based on research and principles of effective practice.

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Questioning and Discussion Techniques	Students experience learning activities that include limited opportunities to pose and answer questions.	Students answer and pose questions and engage in cooperative discussions that enhance learning, critical thinking, transformative multicultural thinking, and problem solving.	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
B. Delivery and Pacing	Students experience learning activities that are too slow or rushed OR are not mindful of the academic competence of low-status/historically marginalized students.	Students engage in learning activities that are paced appropriately for all students, are culturally responsive, and allow for reflection and closure as appropriate.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
C. Differentiated Instruction	Students experience undifferentiated learning activities.	Students engage in learning activities that are adjusted to meet their individual backgrounds, strengths, and needs and are culturally and gender responsive.	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
D. Active Learning	Students are not engaged in learning activities OR low-status/historically marginalized students are disproportionately disengaged.	Students are cognitively engaged in the learning activities and initiate or adapt activities to enhance understanding.	★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
E. Technology	Students have no opportunities to use technology as part of the learning or assessment process.	Students use technology when engaging in learning or the demonstration of their learning.	<ul> <li>★ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>

## 9. Students experience effective classroom management and discipline.

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Use of Classroom Materials	Students use the classroom space and materials with little regard for order and others.	Students find, use, and return classroom materials respectfully and efficiently with regard for order and others.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
B. Equitable Discipline	Some students, such as low- status/historically marginalized students, are disproportionately disciplined in comparison to other students.	Students are fairly and equitably disciplined.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
C. Transitions	Students have limited success changing from one learning task to another without disruptions in the flow of learning.	Students move between learning tasks in an efficient manner.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
D. Response to Interventions	Students demonstrate little or no response to interventions.	Students positively respond to teacher suggestions and interventions in order to make adjustments to appropriate learning behaviors.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
E. Democratic Practices	Students have limited opportunities to experience democratic classroom practices.	Students are engaged in democratic classroom management practices.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010

### 10. The teacher candidate and students engage in activities that assess student learning.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation, documentation of student learning (e.g., formative or summative results)

Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
A. Alignment	Students are not engaged in assessments that are aligned with learning targets.	Students engage in assessment activities that are aligned with learning targets.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
B. Multiple Modes and Approaches	All students engage in the same assessment strategy to measure their performance.	Students engage in a variety of assessments that measure their performance relative to the learning targets.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
C. Feedback	Some students receive limited feedback regarding their performance.	Students receive constructive, timely feedback based on assessment results.	<ul> <li>★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed</li> <li>Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction</li> <li>2 times: 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010</li> </ul>
D. Understanding of Assessment	Students demonstrate a lack of understanding of the relationship between assessment activities and the learning targets.	Students demonstrate an understanding of the relationship between the assessments and learning targets.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
E. Self-Assessment	Students are not involved in self- assessment related to the learning targets.	Students engage in self- assessment related to the learning targets.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
F. Student Reflection	Students do not reflect on their performance relative to learning targets.	Students reflect on their performance in order to evaluate progress over time relative to learning targets.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010
G. Positive Impact on Student Learning	Assessment results reflect insignificant learning relative to the learning targets by at least some students.	Assessment results show the expected amount of learning relative to the learning targets by all students.	★ Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Classroom Observations of Lesson Plan Instruction 2 times: 5 <sup>th</sup> and 6 <sup>th</sup> period, December 14, 2010

	The Candidate Thas	that not met all the sta	ndards and criteria of the P	ęrformance-Ŗased Pedagogy A	Assessment.
*	Candidate Signature	X94 M	Supervisor Signature	ery Kerst	Date 12/14/10
1.	• , -	10 /10		$\sim$	