June 2004

SCORING RUBRIC

Candidate_Barbara Twardowsk	ii	Supervisor Phyllis Espo	sito	Cooperating Teacher	
School Fife High School		School District_Fife		Grade Level(s)	
PAA Administration Dates:	1 st May 26th	2 nd May 26th	3 rd	4 th	

1. The teacher candidate sets learning targets that address the Essential Academic Learning Requirements and the state learning goals.

Source of Evidence Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Alignment	The plan's learning targets are not aligned with EALRs, state learning goals, district goals, and school and classroom goals.	The plan's learning targets are explicitly aligned with EALRs, state learning goals, district goals, and school and classroom goals.	Met Not Met Not Observed learning targets were aligned to Next Generation Science Standards.
В.	Meaningfulness/ Importance	The plan's learning targets represent trivial learning and lack potential for fostering student critical thinking and problem solving.	The plan's learning targets represent valuable learning and foster student critical thinking and problem solving.	Met Not Met Not Observed More work on making explicit the critical thinking. There was significant changes toward this goal in the second observation
C.	Developmental and Instructional Appropriateness	The plan's learning targets are not appropriate for the development, pre- requisite knowledge, skills, experiences, and backgrounds of students or student characteristics and needs.	The plan's learning targets are suitable for all students in the class and are adapted where necessary to the needs of individual students.	Met Not Met Not Observed Some adaptations made between the first and the second observations. Evidence that the needs of the students were considered
D.	Accuracy	The plan's learning targets represent activities rather than learning outcomes and cannot be assessed.	The plan's learning targets define learning outcomes and can be assessed.	Met Not Met Not Observed Continued work on the alignment between the learning targets and the assessments.
E.	Multicultural Perspectives	The plan's learning targets lack transformative multicultural knowledge, reasoning, performance skills, products, or dispositions.	The plan's learning targets are grounded in transformative multicultural knowledge, reasoning, performance skills, products, or dispositions.	Met Dot Met Not Observed

2. The teacher candidate demonstrates knowledge of the characteristics of students and their communities.

Source of Evidence: Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale
--

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Developmental Characteristics	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' developmental characteristics.	The plan reflects understanding of students' developmental characteristics.	Met Not Met Not Observed Evidence that adolescent developmental needs of the students was present in the planning
В.	Exceptionalities	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' exceptionalities and special learning needs.	The plan reflects understanding of students' exceptionalities and special learning needs.	☐ Met INot Met ☐ Not Observed Cont'd work is needed in this area. Specifically in identifying specific strategies for meeting the needs of gifted students
C.	Cultural Backgrounds, Ethnicity, Language Development, Socioeconomic Status (SES), Gender	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' cultural backgrounds, ethnicity, first language development, English acquisition, SES, and gender.	The plan reflects understanding of students' cultural backgrounds, ethnicity, first language development, English acquisition, SES, and gender.	■ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed Evidence in the lesson plans that instruction was planned for to take into account the various cultures represented in the classroom.
D.	Approaches to Learning	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' varied approaches to learning.	The plan reflects understanding of students' varied approaches to learning.	Met Not Met Not Observed Grouping of the students indicates taking the various approaches to learning into account
E.	Prior Knowledge and Skills	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of students' knowledge and skills relative to the learning targets.	The plan reflects understanding of students' knowledge and skills relative to the learning targets for each student, including those with special needs.	Met Not Met Not Observed There is evidence that the observed lesson was connected to prior learning.
F.	Community Factors that Impact Student Learning	The plan reflects minimal or inaccurate understanding of community factors that impact student learning.	The plan reflects understanding of how to use students' community as support for activities, resources, and learning strategies.	■ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed Lesson utilize the school site as a place of observation and drew connections to the experiences of a fellow teacher to provide context for learning.

3. The teacher candidate plans and establishes effective interactions with families to support student learning and wellbeing.

<u>Source of Evidence</u>: Plan for using personal contact with families (e.g., telephone, home visit, family conferences, and/or written messages)

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Appropriateness	There are no plans for interactions with families OR interactions presented in the plan are inappropriate for the language and level of understanding of families.	The plan's interactions with families are specifically adapted to the language and level of understanding of each student and his or her family, including low- status/historically marginalized families.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met
В.	Purpose	Interactions in the plan focus primarily on negative student behavior and performance.	The plan for family interaction provides and elicits information regarding student learning and well- being, including low-status/ historically marginalized families.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met
C.	Cultural Responsiveness	Interactions in the plan are routine with little or no effort to make interactions culturally responsive.	The plan's interactions with families are culturally responsive for each student and his or her family.	□ Met □ Not Met
D.	Two-Way Communication s	The plan provides limited opportunities for families to engage in communication about the learning progress and well being of their children.	The plan provides adequate opportunities for families to engage in communication or activities to support student learning and well- being.	Met Not Met Not Observed

4. The teacher candidate designs assessment strategies that measure student learning.

<u>Source of Evidence</u>: Instructional Plan. Include descriptions or documentation related to the assessment strategies (e.g., copy of assignments, description of strategies, rubric)

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Alignment	The plan's assessment strategies are not aligned with the learning targets.	The plan's assessment strategies are aligned with the learning	Met Not Met Not Observed
			targets.	
В.	Technical Soundness	The plan's assessment strategies do not measure the intended outcomes of the learning targets.	The plan includes assessments that measure the student outcomes reflected in the learning targets.	Met Not Met Not Observed

C.	Formative and Summative Assessment	The plan does not provide for the use of both formative and summative assessment data to evaluate the impact on student learning.	The plan provides for the use of both formative and summative assessment data to evaluate impact on student learning.	Met Not Met Not Observed Cont'd work on developing formative assessments. Incorporating exit tickets.
D.	Multiple Modes and Approaches	The plan's assessment strategies employ a single assessment mode or approach.	The plan includes opportunities for students to engage in a variety of assessments that measure their performance relative to the learning targets.	Met Not Met Not Observed There are multiple modes and approaches to assessement
E.	Feedback	The plan's assessment strategies provide no opportunities for students to receive feedback.	The plan includes opportunities for students to receive feedback regarding their performance relative to the learning targets.	Met INot Met INot Observed

5. The teacher candidate designs instruction based on research and principles of effective practice.

Source of Evidence: Instructional Plan, Instructional Plan Rationale

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Alignment	The plan's learning activities are not aligned with learning targets and assessments.	The plan's learning activities are aligned with learning targets and assessments.	□ Met
В.	Lesson Sequence	The plan's learning activities are unrelated to prior learning and do not support the learning targets.	The plan's learning activities account for prior learning and support the learning targets.	Met Not Met Not Observed
C.	Research-Based Pedagogy	The plan fails to connect instruction to research and principles of effective practice that are developmentally appropriate, culturally responsive, gender sensitive, and inclusive of all students including low-status/historically marginalized students.	The plan is based on research and principles of effective practices that are developmentally appropriate, culturally responsive, gender sensitive, and inclusive of all students including low- status/historically marginalized students.	Met D Not Met D Not Observed
D.	Academic Knowledge and Perspective	The plan reflects a single viewpoint OR uses multicultural or gender academic knowledge only as an add-on to instruction that reflects the dominant culture.	The plan describes how instructional strategies extend beyond the existing diversity of the students in the class and expand material to incorporate a range of transformative multicultural and gender-relevant subject matter content.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met ⊠ Not Observed

E.	Culturally Responsive Learning Activities	The plan employs a single learning strategy or method throughout the lesson OR limits student opportunity to learn from one another in a democratic and caring environment.	The plan employs a variety of learning experiences that build on and recognize the academic competence of each student and encourages critical thinking and collaborative learning in a democratic and caring environment.	Met Not Met Not Observed
F.	Materials and Resources	The plan utilizes learning materials and learning tasks that primarily represent the dominant culture or a single gender.	The plan utilizes learning materials and engages in learning tasks that incorporate transformative multicultural and gender perspectives.	Met Not Met Not Observed variety of learning materials
G.	Use of Technology	The plan incorporates few opportunities for students to learn with varied technologies.	The plan utilizes technology to support and enhance instruction and student learning.	Met I Not Met Not Observed Teacher and student use of technology
H.	Heterogeneous Grouping	The plan's learning activities exclude heterogeneous cooperative learning groups.	The plan provides opportunities for students to engage in a variety of learning experiences including heterogeneous cooperative learning groups that build and recognize academic competence of all students, including low- status/historically marginalized students.	Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Students worked in groups.
I.	Student Engagement	The plan provides no opportunities for students to become intrinsically motivated or engaged in their own learning.	The plan describes how students will become intrinsically motivated and engaged in their own learning.	 Met Not Met Not Observed High interest and interactive learning opportunities.

6. The teacher candidate aligns instruction with the plan and communicates accurate content knowledge.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Alignment	Classroom instruction and the instructional plan are not aligned.	Classroom instruction is aligned with the instructional plan.	Met INot Met INot Observed
В.	Meaningful Opportunities to Learn	Students have limited opportunities to learn the key skills and concepts needed to reach the learning targets.	Students are learning the key skills and concepts needed to reach the learning targets.	Met INot Met INot Observed
C.	Accuracy	The teacher candidate makes content errors.	The teacher candidate demonstrates accurate knowledge	Met INot Met INot Observed

			of the content.	
D.	Interdisciplinary Instruction	Students participate in tasks that focus on a single discipline without making connections to other subject areas.	Students are engaged in tasks that provide interdisciplinary connections with other subject areas.	☑ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
E.	Culturally Responsive and Gender- Sensitive Instruction	Students participate in tasks that represent limited cultural and gender- sensitive perspectives.	Students respond using multicultural and gender-sensitive perspectives.	Met INot Met INot Observed

7. Students participate in a learning community that supports student learning and well-being.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)
Α.	Democratic Classroom	Students do not participate in the development of classroom behavioral expectations and norms.	Students participate in the development of classroom behavioral expectations and norms (e.g., provide input regarding rules or procedures; are involved in conflict resolution).	Met Not Met Not Observed Rules and expectations were visible in the classroom. Students were able to focus on what they wanted their group to attend to support the learning of the group.
В.	Respect	Classroom interactions between students and teacher candidate or between peers are disrespectful.	Classroom interactions between students and teacher candidate or between peers reflect respect for others.	Met Not Met Not Observed Students were responsive to redirections. Evidence of rapport and respect between the teacher and the students and between the students
C.	Learning Community	In group activities, some students act independently or fail to support one another's inquiry/learning or exclude low- status/historically marginalized students.	Students support one another in group learning activities and include low-status/historically marginalized students.	Met Not Met Not Observed This was evident in the setting arrangement, the use of role cards and the expectations visible on the table.
D.	Self-Directed Learning	Students have no opportunity to express their opinions and provide suggestions regarding their own learning.	Students express their opinions and provide suggestions regarding their own learning.	Met INot Met INot Observed
E.	Diverse Perspectives	Students demonstrate disrespect for the multicultural and gender perspectives expressed by others.	Students show respect for multicultural and gender perspectives expressed by others.	Met Not Met Not Observed There appeared to be respect present in the classroom evident in how the students were able to communicate

F.	Heterogeneous	Students do not participate in	Students engage in a variety of	Met Not Met Not Observed
	Groups	heterogeneous cooperative learning	learning experiences including	
		groups OR heterogeneous cooperative	heterogeneous cooperative learning	
		learning groups fail to build the academic	groups that build and recognize	
		competence of all students including low-	academic competence of students,	
		status/historically marginalized students.	including low-status/historically	
			marginalized students.	

8. Students engage in learning activities that are based on research and principles of effective practice.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)		
Α.	Questioning and Discussion Techniques	Students experience learning activities that include limited opportunities to pose and answer questions.	Students answer and pose questions and engage in cooperative discussions that enhance learning, critical thinking, transformative multicultural thinking, and problem solving.	Met INot Met INot Observed		
В.	Delivery and Pacing	Students experience learning activities that are too slow or rushed OR are not mindful of the academic competence of low-status/historically marginalized students.	Students engage in learning activities that are paced appropriately for all students, are culturally responsive, and allow for reflection and closure as appropriate.	Met Not Met Not Observed Second observation more effective closure.		
C.	Differentiated Instruction	Students experience undifferentiated learning activities.	Students engage in learning activities that are adjusted to meet their individual backgrounds, strengths, and needs and are culturally and gender responsive.	Met Dot Met Not Observed		
D.	Active Learning	Students are not engaged in learning activities OR low-status/historically marginalized students are disproportionately disengaged.	Students are cognitively engaged in the learning activities and initiate or adapt activities to enhance understanding.	Met ☐ Not Met ☐ Not Observed Learning activities enhanced by the different learning modalities in which students engaged with the lessons.		
E.	Technology	Students have no opportunities to use technology as part of the learning or assessment process.	Students use technology when engaging in learning or the demonstration of their learning.	Met Not Met Not Observed During the collection of data, the observation phase, students were allowed to utilize their cell phones to		

9. Students experience effective classroom management and discipline.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)		
Α.	Use of Classroom Materials	Students use the classroom space and materials with little regard for order and others.	Students find, use, and return classroom materials respectfully and efficiently with regard for order and others.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met		
В.	Equitable Discipline	Some students, such as low- status/historically marginalized students, are disproportionately disciplined in comparison to other students.	Students are fairly and equitably disciplined.	☑ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed		
C.	Transitions	Students have limited success changing from one learning task to another without disruptions in the flow of learning.	Students move between learning tasks in an efficient manner.	Met Not Met Not Observed use of time used efficently.		
D.	Response to Interventions	Students demonstrate little or no response to interventions.	Students positively respond to teacher suggestions and interventions in order to make adjustments to appropriate learning behaviors.	Met INot Met INot Observed		
E.	Democratic Practices	Students have limited opportunities to experience democratic classroom practices.	Students are engaged in democratic classroom management practices.	Met I Not Met Not Observed Use of equitable roles.		

10. The teacher candidate and students engage in activities that assess student learning.

Source of Evidence: Classroom Observation, documentation of student learning (e.g., formative or summative results)

	Criterion	Not Met	Met	Comments (evidence of performance)		
Α.	Alignment	Students are not engaged in assessments that are aligned with learning targets.	Students engage in assessment activities that are aligned with learning targets.	Met Not Met Not Observed		
В.	Multiple Modes and Approaches	All students engage in the same assessment strategy to measure their performance.	Students engage in a variety of assessments that measure their performance relative to the learning targets.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met		
C.	Feedback	Some students receive limited feedback regarding their performance.	Students receive constructive, timely feedback based on assessment results.	Met Not Met Not Observed Feedback provided in the moment.		

D.	Understanding of Assessment	Students demonstrate a lack of understanding of the relationship between assessment activities and the learning targets.	Students demonstrate an understanding of the relationship between the assessments and learning targets.	□ Met □ Not Met
E.	Self-Assessment	Students are not involved in self- assessment related to the learning targets.	Students engage in self- assessment related to the learning targets.	Met INot Met INot Observed
F.	Student Reflection	Students do not reflect on their performance relative to learning targets.	Students reflect on their performance in order to evaluate progress over time relative to learning targets.	☑ Met □ Not Met □ Not Observed
G.	Positive Impact on Student Learning	Assessment results reflect insignificant learning relative to the learning targets by at least some students.	Assessment results show the expected amount of learning relative to the learning targets by all students.	☐ Met ☐ Not Met

The Candidate I has has has not met all the standards and criteria of the Performance-Based Pedagogy Assessment.

	Phyllis Esposito, Phd		June 1, 2016
Candidate Signature	Supervisor Signature	Date	