**­­­Ranking Criteria for 2015-16 Sustainability Fellowship Applications**

$26,899 to award

Please rank the first three criteria on a scale of 1 to 5: 1 = Marginal 5 = Very much so

Rank “Relation to campus operations” as either ‘Direct’ or ‘Indirect’. This is a lesser qualifier, but may be useful to distinguish between two similarly ranking applications.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Applicant* | *Feasibility within stated timeframe* | *Applicability to sustainability* | *Quality of application* | *Relation to campus operations* |
| Caughman | 5 | 5 | 5 | indirect |
| Madrone | 5 | 5 | 5 | indirect |
| Thurston | 3 (no specific timeline) | 5 | 5 | direct |
| Blair | 2 (no timeline) | 5 | 4 | indirect |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Gail’s ranking:

Caughman- $7,000

Madrone - $7,000

Thurston - $6,450

Blair - $6,449

Final decision:

Carry over about $3k from this year's total, which would bring this year down to $24,000 and increase our $21k for 16/17 to $24k.  This keeps the award amount at an even number over 2 years, which will allow us to have some consistency (last year, we only had $10k, so this year was a HUGE jump). For awards, give the top 3 $6600 and Blair $4200.  More visually:

1. $4200 to Blair and $6600 to others

2. $2,899 remaining from this year

3. Total to award for 16/17 is $23,881

I could go with this idea or Maggie's option.

Thoughts?

In addition, Randee and I briefly talked with Scott and one idea for our magic summer meeting is to have future fellowships cover student positions in Scott's office. The amount of money that we have next year covers approximately 3 student positions at 19 hours/week for 30 weeks (3 quarters) at $14/hour.  I believe this could work for MiT students, especially if some of the positions were fewer hours or for fewer quarters.