**Ranking Criteria for 2013-14 Sustainability Fellowship Applications**

Please rank the first three criteria on a scale of 1 to 5: 1 = Marginal 5 = Very much so

Rank “Relation to campus operations” as either ‘Direct’ or ‘Indirect’. This is a lesser qualifier, but may be useful to distinguish between two similarly ranking applications.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Applicant* | *Feasibility within stated timeframe* | *Applicability to sustainability* | *Quality of application* | *Relation to campus operations* |
| B. Todd – water curric | 3 – might not be able to present it depending on placement | 5 | 4.5 – some grammatical/spelling issues | Indirect – 12.5 |
| S. Porter - prairies | 5 | 5 | 5 | Indirect – 15 |
| F. Edwards – disaster prep | 4 – can she really plan for the Oct event in addition to the research | 5 | 5 | Direct - 14 |
| Westling & Lewis – geothermal tribes | 5 | 5 | 4 – letter of rec could be stronger | Indirect - 14 |
| J. Garlesky – Deschutes estuary video | 4 – can she make a 10 minute film in a quarter? | 5 | 4 | Indirect - 13 |

Maggie will get back to us Monday, 3/10 if Todd can actually give her lesson plan

Todd - $1500

Porter - $3500

Edwards - $3000

Westling/Lewis - $2000

If Todd finds out she can’t use her lesson plan, we will award as follows:

Porter $4000

Edwards $4000

Westling/Lewis - $2000