

Master in Teaching (MiT) Program

Student Teaching Handbook

Section 1: Guidelines for the Teacher Candidate, Mentor Teacher and College Faculty

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND PROGRAM THEMES	4
PROGRAM STRUCTURE	5
MASTER IN TEACHING PROGRAM OUTLINE	6
A DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH TO BECOMING A TEACHER: TWO MODELS	7
ROLE OF THE TEACHER CANDIDATE	11
ROLE OF THE COLLEGE FACULTY	13
ROLE OF THE COOPERATING TEACHER-MENTOR	14
ORIENTING THE TEACHER CANDIDATE TO THE ASSIGNED SCHOOL	15
LESSON PLAN COMPONENTS	16
POSITIVE IMPACT ON STUDENT LEARNING PROJECT - MIT	17
WITHDRAWING A TEACHER CANDIDATE FROM AN ASSIGNMENT	19
TEACHER CANDIDATE PROCEDURE FOR REQUESTING REMOVAL	20
THE STUDENT TEACHING PORTFOLIO	21
FINAL EVALUATION PROTOCOL	21
STUDENT TEACHING EVALUATION CONFERENCE FORM	22

Introduction

The *Student Teaching Handbook* for the Master in Teaching (MiT) program at The Evergreen State College describes the policies, procedures, and forms that guide the student teaching experiences that occur in Year 2 of the MiT program. This Handbook has been developed and refined over many years, based on feedback from K-12 teachers and administrators and the collective experience of the MiT faculty and teacher candidates. The Handbook is published in two parts: this first part includes background information about the MiT Program as well as the core student teaching expectations. Part II of the Handbook contains student teaching performance rubrics and related information.

Conceptual Framework and Program Themes

The Master in Teaching (MiT) program faculty believe the MiT program's success lies as much in the learning processes used to investigate the content as it does in the content itself. Though particular subject matter content is taught, the processes are also "content". Community building, seminars, collaborative learning, group problem solving, extensive field experiences and critical and reflective thinking are not just ideas MiT candidates read about and are then directed to use when they teach. Rather, these are the processes used daily in the program to help graduate students learn to become skilled, competent professionals who can assume leadership roles in curriculum development, child advocacy, assessment and anti-bias work. The MiT program is centered on the exploration of how public education might meet the needs of the diverse groups of people who live in this democracy. The program examines what it means to base teacher education and public education on multicultural, democratic and developmental perspectives and how evidence-based assessment can promote these values. Using an interdisciplinary approach, the following three major themes inform both the content and associated processes of the program throughout MiT curriculum.

Social Justice and Multicultural Theory and Practice

We construct curriculum based on Evergreen's strong commitment to diversity because we believe that both teaching and learning must draw from many perspectives and include a multiplicity of ideas. Rather than erasing or marginalizing differences, we examine and consciously act on differences such as ethnicity, race, class, gender, culture, religion, language, ability and sexual identity. We expose Master in Teaching candidates to the consequences of their multicultural encapsulation to assist them in developing critical consciousness. Future teachers must provide K-12 students with culturally responsive, equitable learning experiences, and opportunities to develop critical consciousness.

Democracy and Schooling

We believe democracy is a multi-dimensional concept. We guide teacher candidates toward professional action and reflection on the implications of the teacher's role in enacting a) democratic classroom learning environments that are learner-centered and collaborative and that empower student voices; and b) democratic, school-based decision-making that is inclusive of parents, community members, school personnel and students. We analyze schooling in relationship to the structures of power and privilege and what it means to work and learn in a democracy operating within a state-supported, advanced capitalist economy. We help candidates to understand the evolution of our current democracy and to critique practices that exclude particular groups from equitable participation in society.

Developmentally and Socio-culturally Appropriate Teaching and Learning

We know that no single instructional model or limited set of teaching methods fully responds to the complex, culturally situated, cognitive processes associated with learning. Student competence is located in cultural practices. Our curriculum reflects the varied cultural, social, emotional, physiological and cognitive growth processes that shape how children and youth receive, construct, interpret and act on their experiences. We believe instruction must be built on assessing students' prior knowledge and interests and their communities' funds of knowledge. From this foundation, teachers need to develop culturally relevant, interdisciplinary, developmentally appropriate curriculum that invites active engagement and expands learner interests.

Program Structure

The MiT program reflects the Evergreen coordinated studies model; the curriculum is organized around themes or questions. An interdisciplinary team of three faculty and a cohort of approximately 45 full-time candidates join together in a community of learners to pursue inquiry into the curricular themes. This approach removes traditional course constraints and facilitates a flexible, intensive schedule that creates a climate in which interactive learning may occur. Competition among candidates is de-emphasized and collaboration encouraged; ranking of candidates or faculty is absent. Candidate input is highly valued. Faculty members are facilitators of learning and co-learners with candidates as well as experts. Seminars on readings, field experiences, coursework related to content pedagogies, professional development and Washington State Education Standards are central components of this coordinated studies model.

The MiT program at Evergreen is a full-time, six-quarter, two-year professional teacher preparation program leading to the MiT Degree and residency teacher certification in Washington state. Candidates may not carry other academic credit during the six program quarters and are urged to avoid outside employment during the two quarters of full-time, daily student teaching. The program interrelates theory and practice by including two full quarters of student teaching and substantial field experiences. During the first year of the program, approximately one-fourth of program time is spent in the field observing and working with students and the remaining time is devoted to oncampus seminars, workshops and lectures. During the second year, nearly 70 percent of MiT student time is allocated to direct involvement in K-12 schools.

Master in Teaching Program Outline

Year 1

Fall Quarter	Winter Quarter	Spring Quarter
 building a learning community seminars, lectures, workshops guided observations in schools Advancement to Candidacy portfolio review 	 seminars, lectures, workshops guided participation in schools Advancement to Candidacy portfolio review 	 seminars, lectures, workshops curriculum development & guided teaching in schools Advancement to Student Teaching portfolio review

Between Year 1 & 2

Summer

Candidates must complete any outstanding subject matter teaching endorsement credits prior to the beginning of Year 2 student teaching.

Year 2		
 Fall Quarter begins in late-August full-time student teaching weekly seminars Positive Impact on Student Learning Project Complete Student Teaching Portfolio Evaluation in late November 	 Winter Quarter reflection on teaching and learning seminars, lectures, workshops professional development related to job search professional growth plan 	 Spring Quarter full-time student teaching weekly seminars program assessment Positive Impact on Student Learning Project Develop Professional Portfolio

The timing and format of the Master's Paper is dependent on the decisions of the faculty in each cohort. Some cohorts may work on the projects during the summer.

A Developmental Approach to Becoming a Teacher: Two Models

The student teaching assignment is a full-time, developmental experience in which the teacher candidate participates in a progressive series of teaching responsibilities. This may include working with individuals, small groups, and the whole class as well as team teaching and planning with the mentor. Within this context, Evergreen's MiT program uses two developmental models to support candidates' growth as teachers. In both models, careful attention is paid to helping the candidate develop the knowledge and skills necessary to effectively support the learning of K-12 students.

In the first model, candidates eventually assume full, solo responsibility for planning, instruction, assessment, and classroom management *for a minimum of three weeks*. When the candidate assumes full responsibility, the mentor is in the building but only in the classroom intermittently to observe and provide feedback to the candidate.

In the second model, co-teaching, the candidate eventually assumes the lead role in the mentor-candidate team in planning, instruction, assessment, and classroom management *for a minimum of three weeks*. The mentor remains in the classroom providing support to small groups or individual students using the co-teaching strategies described later in this section.

Model 1

After a progressive series of successful classroom planning, teaching and management responsibilities, the teacher candidate assumes solo teaching responsibilities. Solo teaching requires the teacher candidate to assume the full-time assessment, planning, teaching, and management responsibilities of the mentor teacher for a minimum of three consecutive weeks of each full-time student teaching assignment.

The candidate is expected to continuously take into account the contexts in which the students are learning (classroom, school, family, and community) as well as pre-, formative, and summative assessment information as she/he develops lesson plans and learning opportunities. The candidate is expected to follow the developmental procedures for planning, writing, and implementing lessons as outlined below, especially during the fall quarter student teaching assignment. Taking into account the experiences and abilities of each teacher candidate as she/he enters the second student teaching assignment in the spring quarter, the outlined time frame below may be modified.

- 1. During weeks one and two of the assignment, the teacher candidate plans with the mentor teacher and works with individuals, small groups, and the whole class as appropriate.
- 2. During weeks three and four, the teacher candidate takes responsibility for 2-3 days of the week's assessment, planning, teaching, and management responsibilities. The candidate consults with the mentor and must provide the mentor with lesson plans for feedback on the Friday *prior* to teaching.
- 3. During week four, five, or six, the teacher candidate assumes independent responsibility for the entire week for assessing, planning, teaching, and management. The candidate must consult with the mentor as she/he plans and then submit plans to the mentor the Friday prior to the week the plans will be implemented unless the mentor designates a different schedule.

- 4. During the remaining weeks of the assignment, the teacher candidate continues to plan independently (including plans for assessment, teaching, and management), following an approved deadline procedure for review of plans.
- 5. If possible, the candidate should gradually taper off her/his involvement in assessing, planning, teaching, and management and be provided with time the last week of the quarter to visit other classrooms and schools.

Model 2 - Co-Teaching

Evergreen's MiT program, like many teacher preparation programs in the state, has begun to implement a co-teaching model of student teaching. The intention behind this model is that public school students, teacher candidates, and mentor teachers will all benefit from a *continuous* collaborative planning and teaching relationship between the candidate and the mentor. *Mentors and candidates who agree to use the co-teaching model also agree to attend a training workshop, follow the guidelines, and employ the co-teaching strategies described in this section.*

St. Cloud University has conducted extensive research about the efficacy of co-teaching. Based on their research and co-teaching model, we are implementing the co-teaching model as described below. Though the mentor teacher remains in the classroom co-teaching with the teacher candidate for the entire quarter, the *teacher candidate eventually assumes the lead role in the relationship and maintains that role for at least three consecutive weeks*. Before student teaching begins, the MiT faculty supervisor, mentor teacher, and teacher candidate discuss and agree on a timeline that allows the candidate to progressively assume leadership in the co-planning and co-teaching relationship. A sample progression may be:

- 1. For the first two to three weeks, the mentor teacher takes the lead in co-planning, modeling, and co-teaching with the candidate engaging in one or more of the teaching strategies described below.
- 2. For the next two weeks, the mentor and candidate work together to co-plan (including plans for assessment and classroom management) and co-teach using the team teaching strategies described below.
- 3. The teacher candidate then takes the lead *for a minimum of three weeks* in the planning and coteaching with the mentor engaging in one or more of the co-teaching strategies described. When the candidate is the lead teacher, she/he is responsible for assessment, collaborative planning, organizing the teaching responsibilities for herself/himself and the other adults in the room, family involvement, and interface with the rest of the school. The teacher candidate in the leadership role provides the candidate with an important developmental opportunity, roughly equivalent to solo teaching in the traditional student teaching model, even though the mentor is still in the room.
- 4. The mentor and candidate decide on the appropriate division of responsibilities for the weeks remaining in the quarter. If possible, the candidate should be provided the opportunity to visit other classrooms and schools in the last week of the assignment.

The information provided by St. Cloud University describes what co-teaching is and is not, and defines a range of teaching strategies to be used by the teacher candidate and mentor teacher. To implement this model, the mentor teacher, teacher candidate, and college supervisor work collaboratively to select the teaching strategies that will be implemented to meet:

- the needs of the students, staff, and school,
- MiT's requirements for lesson planning and completion of the *Positive Impact on Student Learning Project*, and,
- requirements necessary for candidates to reach standard on the *Student Teaching Rubric* and the State of Washington *Performance-Based Pedagogy Assessment* (please see *Student Teaching Handbook Assessment Guide*).

Co-Teaching: What and How www.stcloudstate.edu/coe/tge

Co-teaching is defined as two teachers working together with groups of students and sharing the planning, organization, delivery and assessment of instruction and physical space.

What co-teaching is NOT

- Simply dividing the tasks and responsibilities between two people.
- For example, co-teaching is NOT:
 - o One person teaching one subject followed by another who teaches a different subject
 - o One person teaching one subject while another person prepares instructional materials at the Xerox machine or corrects student papers in the teachers' lounge
 - o One person teaching while the other sits and watches
 - o When one person's ideas prevail regarding what will be taught and how it will be taught
 - o Someone is simply assigned to act as a tutor

Co-Teaching Strategies – How to Shape the Co-Teaching Experience

Team Teaching – well-planned, team-taught lessons exhibit an invisible flow of instruction with no prescribed division of authority. Using a team teaching strategy, both teachers are actively involved in the lesson. From a student's perspective, there is no clearly defined leader, as both teachers share the instruction and are free to interject information and are available to assist students and answer questions.

One Teach, One Observe – One teacher has primary instructional responsibility while the other gathers specific observational information on students or the (instructing) teacher

One Teach, One Drift – One teacher has primary instructional responsibility while the other teacher assists students with their work, monitors behaviors or corrects assignments

Station Teaching – The co-teaching pair divide the instructional content into parts – each teacher instructs one of the groups. The groups then rotate or spend a designated amount of time at each station. Often an independent station will be used.

Parallel Teaching – Each teacher instructs half of the students. The two teachers are addressing the same instructional material and presenting the material using the same teaching strategy. The greatest benefit is the reduction of the student to teacher ration.

Supplemental Teaching – This strategy allows one teacher to work with students at their expected grade level while the co-teacher works with those students who need the information and/or materials extended or remediated.

Alternative/Differentiated Teaching – Alternative teaching strategies provide two different approaches to teaching the same information. The learning outcome is the same for all students; however, the avenue for getting there is different.

Role of the Teacher Candidate

Note: The outlined expectations below are intended as a focusing guide for MiT program Teacher Candidates and are complementary to the requirements for successful completion of the student teaching assignment contained throughout both sections of this *Student Teaching Handbook*.

Role of the Teacher Candidate as a Student

- 1. Participate in a MiT program orientation and planning meeting for Teacher Candidates prior to the beginning of Fall Quarter student teaching in mid-August.
- 2. Take responsibility for meeting all expectations described in the Student Teaching Handbook, Section 1, and become familiar with the assessment rubrics included in Section 2 of the Handbook.
- 3. Become acquainted with the school principal and school program (see "Orienting the Teacher Candidate to the Assigned School").
- 4. Arrange a meeting with the Cooperating Teacher and Faculty Supervisor before or during the first week of the student teaching assignment.
- 5. Attend afternoon/evening seminars as scheduled by the College Faculty.
- 6. Turn in teaching schedules to the College Faculty as often as needed to keep him/her informed.
- 7. Write lesson plans for all lessons taught using appropriate criteria and formats, evaluate each lesson, and provide the College Faculty with evaluated plans on a weekly basis after use or on the schedule identified by the faculty (see "Lesson Plan Components").
- 8. <u>Submit written lesson plans to the mentor teacher NO LATER than the Friday</u> before the plans will be implemented. Include the mentors' feedback to the revised plans.
- 9. Create and maintain a Student Teaching Portfolio of all teaching plans (see "Lesson Plan Components" and "The Student Teaching Portfolio").
- 10. Assist in planning frequent (daily if possible) conferences with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and assist in scheduling meetings with the College Faculty at the student teaching site.
- 11. Produce a videotape of your own teaching by mid-quarter with an accompanying critique of the lesson following the guidelines specified by the College Faculty.
- 12. Complete the Positive Impact on Student Learning Project, a project that specifically documents your positive impact on student learning (see 'The Positive Impact on Student Learning Project').

Role of Teacher Candidate as a Teacher

- 1. Participate in the school activities that are expected of a teacher. This includes being on site for at least the full teacher contract day (usually 30 minutes before and after school start/finish times), as well as attending staff meetings, committee meetings, and special school events.
- 2. Notify the school, the cooperating teacher, and the college supervisor the night before an absence.
- 3. Become familiar with the school's program, resources, and materials including uses of technology (see "Orienting the Teacher Candidate to the Assigned School").
- 4. Assist the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor in fulfilling routine duties.
- 5. Determine the family, community, linguistic, and cultural contexts of your students. Use the information to involve families and students in planning and implementing culturally and linguistically appropriate learning experiences.
- 6. Plan, enact, and assess developmentally appropriate activities for children consistent with the course of study provided by the school district, EALRs, GLE's, and Performance Expectations.
- 7. Meet with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor to establish a plan for the gradual assumption of teaching responsibilities and for the return of classes to the teacher toward the close of the assigned placement (see "A Developmental Approach to Becoming a Teacher").
- 8. Collaborate and consult with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor to obtain clearance for proposed lessons and activities.
- 9. For any assigned teaching, <u>provide the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor with lesson plans prior to teaching so that a substitute teacher or the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor could, if necessary, enact the lesson plans.</u> Use the mentor's feedback to revise the plans before implementing.
- 10. Invite the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor to observe specific lessons on a regular basis for critical evaluation and feedback.
- 11. Invite the Principal to observe during the quarter.
- 12. Critically evaluate classroom activities with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor on a daily basis and with the College Faculty after his/her classroom observations.
- 13. Hold in strict confidence information about children and youth and their families recorded in cumulative records or obtained from other sources.
- 14. Use materials belonging to the school or the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor with care, making sure that all such materials are returned promptly.
- 15. Maintain ethical and professional relationships with pupils, staff, and parents.
- 16. Maintain appropriate standards of dress and grooming.

Role of the College Faculty

- 1. Become acquainted with the background of the Teacher Candidate he or she is supervising.
- 2. Become acquainted with the school principal and school program of the Teacher Candidate he or she is supervising. Make sure to request an appointment with the principal to discuss expectations for the teacher candidate.
- 3. Participate in a faculty-designed orientation and planning meeting for Teacher Candidates prior to the beginning of Fall Quarter student teaching.
- 4. Visit the student teaching site to
 - (a) convene a meeting with the Cooperating Teacher by the end of the first week of the student teaching assignment in order to:
 - (1) provide an overview of the student teaching assessment rubrics, and The Positive Impact on Student Learning Project.
 - (2) determine how the Teacher Candidate's time will tentatively be allocated.
 - (3) respond to any concerns that may arise.
 - (b) supervise the Teacher Candidate for at least one entire instructional period at each of 4 observations.
 - (c) provide the Teacher Candidate with concrete pedagogical recommendations through conferences following each observation.
 - (d) collaborate with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor, using the MiT Assessment Rubric as an foundation, to formatively and summatively evaluate the Teacher Candidate, including conducting the following meetings with the Teacher Candidate present:
 - (1) a non-binding, mid-assignment evaluation of the Teacher Candidate and
 - (2) a final assessment of the Teacher Candidate's performance.

Each of these should be signed by all parties.

- 5. Visit the student teaching site during the quarter for:
 - a) an introductory meeting during week one (see items 2 & 4a above)
 - b) a minimum of 4 full lesson observations followed by post conference debriefing with the Teacher Candidate and, as necessary, with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor (see items 4b & 4c above)
 - c) two evaluation meetings: mid-term & final (see item 4d above)
- 6. Conduct weekly field seminars with assigned Teacher Candidates.
- 7. Meet with all College Faculty supervisors in regular faculty seminars.
- 8. Forward to the MiT Director each Teacher Candidate's Positive Impact on Student Learning Project evaluation rubrics for MiT Program archives which include scores for each section of the rubric and a holistic score for the entire project.
- 9. Provide to the designated Program Secretary at the conclusion of the Student Teaching assignment an official narrative evaluation for each student teacher.
- 10. Complete a "State of Washington Performance-Based Pedagogy Assessment" rubric for each teacher candidate (this must be done a minimum of two times by the completion of both student teaching experiences).
- 11. Submit an "End of the Quarter Rubric Rating Form" (based on the MiT Student Teaching Rubric) and the "Student Teaching Evaluation Form" (with signatures documenting completion of student teaching) for each student teacher to the Education Field Experience Officer.
- 12. Ensure that each candidate has completed the Standard V Survey at the end of fall and spring quarters and the End of Program Survey at the end of spring quarter before submitting the evaluation.

Role of the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor

- 1. Become acquainted with the background of the Teacher Candidate.
- 2. Establish a welcoming and supportive relationship, including providing the Teacher Candidate with a desk or other personal work space.
- 3. Promote student and parent recognition of the Teacher Candidate as a "teacher."
- 4. Meet with the College Faculty during the first week of the assignment in order to:
 - address any questions regarding the use of the assessment rubrics and the Positive Impact on Student Learning Project
 - reach agreement on a tentative time-line for the Teacher Candidate's transition to full-time solo student teaching for a minimum of three weeks or as lead teacher in co-teaching
 - share expectations and any concerns
 - set dates & times for the mid-term and final evaluation conferences.
- 5. Help orient the Teacher Candidate to the school.
- 6. Provide a gradual transition for the Teacher Candidate to increase teaching responsibility to the *minimum of three-weeks of solo full-time teaching or lead teacher in a co-teaching placement.*
- 7. Provide the Teacher Candidate opportunities to meet the expectations of the assessment rubrics and Positive Impact on Student Learning Project.
- 8. Give regular constructive feedback to the Teacher Candidate, including written and verbal comments keyed to the MiT Assessment Rubric (The Evergreen State College formative instrument) and the State Assessment Rubric (the State of Washington summative rubric).
- 9. Review and discuss the conceptual and developmental appropriateness of Teacher Candidate lesson plans prior to the Candidate teaching.
- 10. Use professional judgment in collaboration with the College Faculty to determine the appropriate balance between your time in/out of the classroom during the days the Teacher Candidate is responsible for planning and managing the learning activities in the classroom.
- 11. Collaborate with the College Faculty in the continuing evaluation of the Teacher Candidate.
- 12. Alert the College Faculty to circumstances that may be threatening the successful completion of the student teaching assignment *as soon as the concern arises*. If necessary, initiate the process for removing the candidate from the classroom (see page 19).
- 13. Provide for a substitute teacher when absent so that the Teacher Candidate is always under the supervision of a certified teacher. During the second student teaching experience, if the Teacher Candidate has a valid Intern Substitute Certificate (which requires the prior approval of the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and College Faculty), the Cooperating Teacher has the option of allowing the Teacher Candidate to serve as the substitute teacher.
- 14. Write a narrative evaluation of the candidate based on the MiT Student Teaching Rubric and give it to the College Faculty at the final three-way evaluation conference.

Orienting the Teacher Candidate to the Assigned School

Each Teacher Candidate needs to be provided an orientation to the assigned student teaching site by the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor at the beginning of the student teaching experience to become more familiar with his/her resources and responsibilities.

The following check list $(\sqrt{})$ is suggested for assisting the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor in the orientation of the Teacher Candidate:

	School calendar during period of student teaching assignment: dates of open house, parent
	conferences, state testing, etc.
	School & classroom daily schedule
	Cooperating Teacher-Mentor's daily/weekly teaching schedule
	School and classroom discipline, referral, attendance and tardy policies (view Student
	Handbook if available)
	School and classroom grading/assessment procedures
	List of student names
	Background information on students
	Parent permission for videotaping, field trips, etc.
	Emergency procedures (including how to summon office help quickly, fire, earthquake and
	lockdown procedures, blood spills)
	Review other faculty responsibilities (view Faculty Handbook if available)
	Student Teacher Candidate's workspace (desk, cabinet, secure area for personal items, etc.)
	Access to computer, printing and copying
	Access to grading and attendance software and backup policies
	Access to supplies and other teaching materials
	Accessibility of student records
	After hours school and classroom admittance (keys?)
	Student Teacher Candidate's relation with parents (e.g., introductory letter to parents, Teacher
	Candidate role during parent meetings)
	Tour of building
	Faculty lounge and restrooms, meal options
	Introduction to principal, faculty, and staff
	Introduction to library and learning resource center, guidance counseling area
	Faculty parking procedures
	Arrangements for regular sharing of Student Teacher's lesson planning with the Cooperating
	Teacher
	Arrangements for the event of an illness/absence by the Student Teacher or Cooperating
_	Teacher.
u	Arrangements for Cooperating Teacher to provide routine, ongoing feedback after Student
	Teacher's teaching of a lesson or lessons.

Lesson Plan Components

Although the Teacher Candidate is given the opportunity to select and refine his/her own lesson plan format, there are several components that need to be included in all student teaching lesson plans. These "minimum components" are outlined below. Including these required components helps ensure that the Teacher Candidate has not missed any of the planning "basics". The criteria for assessing the adequacy of lesson planning can be found on the MiT Assessment Rubric (see Components 1c, 1e, and 4a).

- 1. Clearly state what you are trying to accomplish with your lesson. In the language of the student teaching rubric this means to list your "**instructional goals and key concepts**". Expressing the same idea using different words, the WA state rubric asks you to list your "learning targets".
- 2. Identify the Washington Essential Academic Learning Requirements Grade Level Expectations or Performance Expectations most central to this lesson's outcomes. Provide differentiated targets, if appropriate, for students with special needs, including students for whom English is a second language.
- 3. State the plan for **pre-assessing** students' knowledge and abilities in relation to the lesson outcome.
- 4. Describe the (socio-culturally and developmentally appropriate) **student learning activities** to be used in this lesson to meet the stated goals, targets, etc.
- 5. Specify the **instructional resources** needed for the student learning activities.
- 6. Specify the **teaching procedures** that will be used to achieve the lesson's intended outcome. Note that this requires information that is in addition to the <u>student learning activities</u>. For example, depending on the particular lesson, it may be important to specify: what the teacher will say to introduce the lesson and/or connect it with prior learning, how long each part of the lesson will last, the planned core questions the teacher will ask, and/or the strategy for moving smoothly from one phase of the lesson to the next.
- 7. Describe the **formative and/or summative assessment** procedures that will be used to gather feedback on student learning during and at the conclusion of the lesson.
- 8. Describe how you will collect evidence of your positive impact on student learning.
- 9. Include a **post-lesson reflection based on assessment information**, sharing your perceptions about the lesson's effectiveness and suggestions about how the lesson might be improved "the next time".
- 10. When completing the Positive Impact on Student Learning Project, include plans for sharing pre-, -formative, and summative assessment information with students in ways that will help the students learn to identify their own progress and plans for continued progress.

POSITIVE IMPACT on STUDENT LEARNING PROJECT - MiT

Definition and Description of the Project

The **Positive Impact on Student Learning Project** is typically completed during the solo student teaching experience or during the phase of co-teaching when the candidate is acting as lead teacher. Through the project, The Teacher Candidate uses pre, formative, and post assessments to systematically document the academic progress of her/his students during a unit of instruction. The Teacher Candidate plans and reflects on the unit using her/his knowledge of relevant classroom, school, community, and student contexts; knowledge of culturally appropriate, multi-cultural, anti-bias teaching; knowledge of student characteristics; knowledge of state learning goals; and knowledge of content, pedagogy and assessment to develop, implement, assess, and adjust learning experiences for students. In addition to documenting the progress of the entire class, the teacher candidate selects 3-5 students of various ability levels and closely documents and presents the students' development toward mastery of 1-2 of the unit's Grade Level Expectations (GLEs), Performance Expectations (math/science) or Frameworks. The purpose of this project is to demonstrate, using student work and student voice, a positive impact on K-12 student growth in the chosen goals as a result of the Teacher Candidate's teaching.

Goals of the Project

The Positive Impact Project is an essential aspect of the MiT program, serving six important purposes related to Standard V:

- 1. to assess candidates' abilities to articulate clear learning targets aligned with the EALRs, GLEs, Frameworks and Performance Expectations.
- 2. to assesses candidates' abilities to use pre and formative assessments to shape learning experiences to meet students' varied needs.
- 3. to assess candidates' skills in using post assessment to determine students' progress toward the learning targets.
- 4. to provide an opportunity for candidates to refine their reflective skills and to use data to articulate what worked in a lesson and what needs to be changed in order to support students' learning.
- 5. to support the candidate in collecting evidence to show that she/he has met state requirements embedded in the *Pedagogy Assessment*.
- 6. to assess the candidate's ability to demonstrate her/his *Positive Impact on Student Learning*. Specifically, the candidate must demonstrate the ability to use *student* "voice", NOT the candidates' attitudes, interpretations, lesson plans, instructional skills, etc., to demonstrate that the *student* can a) identify what she/he is learning and why the learning is important, and b) explain where she/he is in the process and what her/his strategies, next steps, and resources are.

Candidate Assignment

The Positive Impact on Student Learning Project focuses on eight teaching processes identified by research and best practice as fundamental to improving student learning (http://edtech.wku.edw/rubric). Each teaching process is outlined with guidelines for completing the task and corresponds to the rubric that defines various levels of performance on the standard. The Standards and Rubrics will be used to evaluate your project. The guidelines help you document the extent to which you have met each standard through your required comprehensive unit. **Before** you teach the unit, you will describe contextual factors, identify learning goals based on state content standards, create an assessment plan designed to measure student metacognitive and academic performance before (pre-assessment), during (formative assessment) and after (post-assessment) the unit, and plan for your instruction. **After** you teach the unit, you will analyze student learning and then reflect upon and evaluate your teaching as related to student learning.

Assessment Documentation

This is primarily a *student* assessment project. It determines students' growth toward target GLEs, Performance Expectations, and Frameworks as well as the candidates' positive impact on student learning. The core assessment documentation includes:

- ➤ **Pre-assessment instruments and results** showing each selected student's knowledge and skills in relation to the unit's GLEs, Performance Expectations, or Frameworks;
- Formative assessment instruments and assessment results showing student learning at multiple points during the implementation of the curricular unit;
- > Summative assessment instruments and assessment results documenting student learning at the conclusion of the unit;
- Positive Impact on Student Learning evidence: Written notes from interviews or other datagathering techniques for capturing student voice with each of the 3 5 targeted students (two per student at different times during the project) describing their responses to the following questions: What learning outcome are you working toward? Why is this learning important? How is your learning being evaluated? What progress have you made with regards to this learning? How do you know? What steps would you need to take next? What resources might you use? Or other written evidence such as exit slips, student self reflections or goal setting forms, etc. that reflect student metacognition.
- A chart illustrating whole class results of assessments over time
- Charts illustrating individual results of assessments over time
- A self-reflection and evaluation that uses the assessment results to create a written narrative that describes the unit's impact on student learning. This narrative includes information gathered in the Positive Impact Interviews as well as the candidate's reflections about how this information might inform his/her teaching.

Meeting Washington State PPA Requirements

The *Positive Impact on Student Learning Project* is integrated with the written sources of evidence required for the State of Washington's Pedagogy Assessment. The information you provide about your classroom, students, planning rationale, lesson/unit plans will meet the needs of both the *Positive Impact on Student Learning Project* and the State Pedagogy Assessment.

Withdrawing a Teacher Candidate from an Assignment during the Student Teaching Placement

When the College Faculty, Cooperating Teacher-Mentor, and/or Principal request the removal of a Teacher Candidate from a student teaching experience, the following procedure is to be followed. The intent of this procedure is to ensure fairness to all concerned while minimizing disruption in the student teaching setting. For questions or concerns regarding civil rights or non-discrimination policies, please see the following: http://www.evergreen.edu/policies/category/governance.htm

- 1. After conferring with the MiT Director, the College Faculty meets with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor, the Principal, and the Teacher Candidate to understand/share clearly the reason for the request to withdraw the Candidate prior to the conclusion of the allocated time.
- 2. Unless the withdrawal is being requested for a situation beyond the Teacher Candidate's control, all parties involved will determine the merits of attempting a trial resolution. If there is agreement to a trial period, a reasonable amount of time will be given for that strategy to be enacted within the original specified dates for the student teaching assignment.
- 3. If a resolution of the problem is not possible, the Teacher Candidate is removed from the assignment under the coordination of the Education Field Experience Officer and the designated school district administrator responsible for student teaching assignments.
- 4. All final recommendations for withdrawal from student teaching are articulated in writing by the College Faculty, with a rationale based either on inappropriate teaching (and in reference to the student teaching assessment rubric) or on the conditions beyond the Teacher Candidate's control that are responsible for the withdrawal, and transmitted to the Teacher Candidate, the Education Experience Officer, and the MiT Director.
- 5. The MiT faculty team in consultation with the Education Field Experience Officer and MiT Director will determine the future status of the MiT student for continuing in student teaching and in the MiT program.

Teacher Candidate Procedure for Requesting Removal from a Student Teaching Placement

If a Teacher Candidate seeks to be removed from a student teaching placement prior to the start of the placement or during the placement, the procedures below are followed. Teacher Candidates are not authorized to initiate placement changes with either the Education Field Experience Officer or public school personnel. For questions or concerns regarding civil rights or non-discrimination policies,, please see the following: http://www.evergreen.edu/policies/category/governance.htm

- 1. Request a meeting with the College Faculty to outline the reason(s) for the request.
- 2. If the College Faculty determines that the request lacks professional merit, the Teacher Candidate's student teaching placement remains unchanged.
- 3. If the College Faculty is unable to resolve the basis for the reason for the request and determines that the reason is worthy of further consideration, the College Faculty schedules a meeting with the MiT Director and the Education Experience Officer for deliberations upon the request.
- 4. The MiT Director in consultation with the College Faculty (and the faculty team if possible) and the Education Field Experience Officer makes the final decision on the action to be taken on the Teacher Candidate's request.
- 5. If the MiT Director determines that the request lacks professional merit, the Teacher Candidate's student teaching placement remains unchanged.
- 6. If the MiT Director determines that request should be honored and the current placement is underway, the steps are followed for "Withdrawing a Teacher Candidate from an Assignment During the Student Teaching Placement." If consensus is reached with the Cooperating Teacher and Principal, the Education Field Experience Officer will cancel the placement and seek a new placement. This process may necessitate an extension of the student teaching placement beyond the normal calendar schedule.
- 7. If the MiT Director determines that the request should be honored and the current placement has not started, the Education Field Experience Officer will cancel the placement and seek a new placement. This process may necessitate an extension of the student teaching placement beyond the normal calendar schedule.

Note: A Teacher Candidate who rejects the decision of the MiT Program and refuses to accept the student teaching placement provided by the college will be dismissed from the program. If a Teacher Candidate wishes to appeal the outcome of this decision, she/he must submit a written request to appeal to the MiT director, as described in the *MiT Student Guidebook to Policies*, *Procedures and Resources*.

The Student Teaching Portfolio

The College Faculty expect that all Teacher Candidates will create a Student Teaching Portfolio of their teaching plans. Besides lesson plans, this portfolio should include reflections revealing the Teacher Candidate's theory to practice connections and learning from teaching and planning. The portfolio must be completed for the College Faculty prior to the final evaluation conference. Faculty in each cohort will provide directions for the content to be included in the portfolio.

Final Evaluation Protocol

Although the College Faculty is ultimately responsible for submitting an evaluation for the Teacher Candidate's transcript, Evergreen's Master in Teaching Program faculty respect that the evaluation process is a collaborative one with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor. Within this collaborative approach both the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and the College Faculty base their individual feedback and evaluation of the Teacher Candidate upon the Student Teaching Assessment Rubric. A written narrative evaluation by the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor made available at the final evaluation conference contributes to the development of the final narrative evaluation submitted by the College Faculty to the College Registrar.

The final decision regarding successful completion of a student teaching assignment is based on the professional judgments of the College Faculty in consultation with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor. It is understood that what constitutes consistency of performance on the Student Teaching Assessment Rubric may vary given the conditions of each student teaching situation.

Teacher Candidate performances which are in the "inappropriate teacher" range at the conclusion of a student teaching assignment will serve as a basis for the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and the College Faculty to seriously consider giving such a Teacher Candidate an overall evaluation equivalent to unsatisfactory performance. In the case where the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and the College Faculty conclude a Teacher Candidate has not satisfied the minimum expectations for the successful completion of a student teaching assignment, a written statement to that effect is attached to the sign-off form "Student Teaching Evaluation Conference" and reflected in the College Faculty's narrative evaluation of the Student Teacher. This may be the basis for exiting the candidate from the program.

The Evergreen State College Master in Teaching Program

Student Teaching Evaluation Conference Form

Fall Quarter mid-ter	m				
Spring Quarter final					
PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF TEACHER CANDIDATE					
(name of Teacher Cand	lidate)				
for the time period through	time period through				
(starting date)	(ending date)				
in the	grade(s)/classroom(s) of				
Cooperating Teacher-Mentor	in the subject area(s)				
of					
The signatures below of the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and the College Supervisor indicate completion of the evaluation of the Teacher Candidate based on the MiT Student Teaching Assessment Rubric. The signature of the Teacher Candidate indicates that he or she was present and participated in the evaluation with the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and the College Faculty.					
For the mid-term evaluation performance, areas needing attention or improvement have been noted and shared with the Teacher Candidate. For the final evaluation, any written concerns by the Cooperating Teacher-Mentor and/or the College Faculty regarding the Teacher Candidate not meeting minimum expectations for the student teaching assignment are attached.					
Cooperating Teacher-Mentor:	Date:				
College Faculty:	Date:				
Teacher-Candidate:	Date:				

Note to College Faculty: At the completion of the student teaching experience, please return this completed and signed form, along with one completed copy of the Student Teaching Checklist (the short form of The Evergreen State College rubric) and one completed Pedagogy Assessment Rubric to the Field Experience Officer.